

FCG6GEOH

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

3 GEORGE TOWN ASSOCIATES S.A.,

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

15 CV 3435 (DLC)

6 ABAKAN, INC., and MESOCOAT,
7 INC.,

8 Defendants.

-----x

9 New York, N.Y.
10 December 16, 2015
3:30 p.m.

11 Before:

12 HON. DENISE L. COTE,

13 District Judge

14 APPEARANCES

15 GOETZ FITZPATRICK, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
16 BY: DOUGLAS A. GROSS

17 LEWIS BAACH, PLLC
Attorneys for Receiver Robert W. Seiden
18 BY: DAVID GUERRERO LISTON
ALEX PATCHEN

19 LINDA LEALI, P.A.
20 Attorney for Objector

FCG6GEOH

1 (Case called; in open court)

2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: George Town associates v. Abakan,
3 Inc., others.

4 Counsel for the plaintiff, please state your name for
5 the record.

6 MR. GROSS: Good afternoon, your Honor. Douglas Gross
7 of Goetz Fitzpatrick for plaintiff, George Town Associates.

8 THE COURT: We have the receiver with us today, I
9 believe, Mr. Seiden?

10 MR. SEIDEN: Yes, your Honor. Good afternoon.

11 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

12 Counsel for the receiver?

13 MR. LISTON: Good afternoon, your Honor. Happy to be
14 here. David Liston on behalf of the receiver and also Alex
15 Patchen from my firm as well.

16 THE COURT: Thank you. I see others in the courtroom.
17 I am glad we have others with us.

18 I believe we have one objector, Ms. Leali. Are you
19 present in the courtroom as well, Ms. Leali?

20 MS. LEALI: Yes, your Honor. I have a pending motion
21 for a pro hac vice that was filed yesterday. Unfortunately it
22 was booted back this morning because of a certificate of good
23 standing was not the correct certificate, your Honor.

24 THE COURT: So I am happy to accept your appearance
25 pro hac vice for today's matter, but I would ask you to correct

FCG6GEOH

1 the paperwork you filed with the Clerk's Office so it can be
2 formerly accepted by them as well.

3 MS. LEALI: Yes, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Thank you so much.

5 MS. LEALI: Thank you.

6 THE COURT: We're here for a hearing on an application
7 by the receiver and I have been presented with documents, a
8 satisfaction and assignment agreement of December 16 that
9 corrects or amends one submitted to me of December 9th. I have
10 also received a proposed order granting the motion for the
11 termination of the receiverships upon approval of satisfaction
12 of debt.

13 We have many things to discuss, but I will start by
14 hearing from you, Mr. Gross.

15 MR. GROSS: Thank you, your Honor. We're here today
16 because we have now resolved all objections of objecting
17 creditors. The reason that the proposed transaction changed
18 yesterday is because of that settlement agreement. There are
19 no objectors to this proposed agreement.

20 THE COURT: Do you want to describe to me what
21 happened with respect to the four Mesocoat petitioning
22 creditors whose claims totaled close to \$42,000?

23 MR. GROSS: Yes. I was planning to read into the
24 record that part of the settlement. If your Honor will allow
25 me, I will read those provisions into the record as part of the

FCG6GEOH

1 settlement.

2 THE COURT: Are you reading from a document I have?

3 MR. GROSS: No. It is e-mail of settlement
4 negotiations. It was not incorporated in the final agreement,
5 but it was incorporated in an e-mail exchange but I wanted to
6 read it into the record today.

7 1: Mesocoat petitioning creditors get paid in full
8 the \$41,920 by Mesocoat within 14 days subsequent to approval
9 by your Honor of the transaction and full closing of the
10 transaction.

11 There is one caveat that I would like to read in.
12 "George Town, Sonoro, Avakan and Mesocoat agree not to pursue
13 claims before Judge Cristol against the petitioned creditors
14 and their counsel, Linda Leali, Esquire, under Section 303 of
15 the Bankruptcy Code. Petitioning creditors will not get a
16 release from anyone."

17 "Also, in the event that the" --

18 THE COURT: I am sorry. The petitioning creditors,
19 these are the four Mesocoat creditors, or how is that term
20 defined in the agreement you are reading to me? Does it
21 include the Abakan creditors as well?

22 MR. GROSS: I believe it includes all the creditors.

23 THE COURT: So it is the 10 creditors, six from Abakan
24 and four from Mesocoat?

25 MR. GROSS: Yes.

FCG6GEOH

1 THE COURT: What is that portion of the agreement?
2 The release, interrupted you as you were reading another
3 provision.

4 MR. GROSS: Yes. Let me must continue.

5 "Also, in the event that petitioning creditors
6 participate in another involuntary bankruptcy as against Abakan
7 and/or Mesocoat and George Town, Sonoro, Abakan, Mesocoat's
8 obligation to not pursue the petitioning creditors and/or their
9 counsel, Linda Leali, Esquire, under Section 303 of the
10 Bankruptcy Code shall be extinguished and all moneys and equity
11 received pursuant to the transaction shall be forfeited and
12 remitted to George Town."

13 THE COURT: So I think you were reading to me just a
14 moment ago when I interrupted and tried to figure out who you
15 were referring to something about a release.

16 MR. GROSS: Yes. The petitioning creditors will not
17 get a general release from anyone. So that is all 10.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. GROSS: This settlement agreement is in the best
20 interest of all parties. It exchanges \$6.3 million in debt for
21 77.5 percent ownership in Mesocoat. It leads Mesocoat subject
22 to approximately \$2 million of debt, which will have to be paid
23 in the ordinary course of business. So it is effectively an \$8
24 million deal. The value of the equity as found by the expert
25 hired by the receiver is 3.5 million so that the debt that is

FCG6GEOH

1 being wiped out and converted to equity exceeds the value of
2 the equity in Mesocoat now.

3 The alternative to this would be a UCC foreclosure in
4 which as a practical matter George Town would bid in its debt.
5 No one has come along to bid over that. All the expressions of
6 interest have disappeared. This is the only offer that is out
7 there. If George Town determines to sell off the assets of
8 Mesocoat, it is not a going concern, it doesn't stay in
9 business and it is harmful to the creditors and Mesocoat and
10 harmful to both the shareholders and to the creditors of
11 Avakan.

12 By this transaction, Abakan will retain the
13 22.5 percent interest in Mesocoat. It retains its interest in
14 PowderNet, which we believe is a lot more value than they
15 suggested when most of it was sold at a distressed price this
16 summer.

17 THE COURT: I am not sure that is part of the record,
18 that is, the PowderNet sale at distressed prices this summer.

19 MR. GROSS: I don't know that it was established. You
20 are correct, your Honor, we did talk about that in the scope of
21 the contempt proceeding where they sold contrary to the Court's
22 order. It was not in the ordinary course of business.

23 THE COURT: I remember the PowderNet transaction,
24 which was one of the circumstances which led to the imposition
25 of a receiver over Abakan, I believe.

FCG6GEOH

1 MR. GROSS: Right.

2 THE COURT: You are referring to it as a sale of
3 assets at a distressed price. Are you referring to something
4 else?

5 MR. GROSS: No. I am referring to that, your Honor.
6 It is 3.6 percent interest in PowderNet retained by Abakan. So
7 I am going over what Abakan will have after this transaction
8 goes through. If your Honor wants me to, I can call a witness
9 to testify as to what the value of that 3.6 percent is. Plus
10 they retain this 22 and a half percent interest in Mesocoat.
11 Now, Mesocoat now has entities who are essentially investing in
12 it and want to keep it as a going concern as a practical matter
13 will have to put more money in. As you know George Town has
14 already put in \$500. To get this company going, more money
15 will have to go in. So Abakan will have the advantage of
16 having a going concern as opposed to a piecemeal sale of the
17 assets of Mesocoat. That is why we believe it is in the
18 interest of everyone for this deal to go forward.

19 THE COURT: Now, there was a submission to me
20 indicating that George Town was going to invest in another \$1
21 million in Mesocoat. Did that happen already?

22 MR. GROSS: No. That is in contemplation. I think as
23 a practical matter, I don't see how this company can survive as
24 a going concern or any reason for George Town to trade debt for
25 equity unless it is prepared to put more money in. It was not

FCG6GEOH

1 just George Town. It was the other entities or individuals who
2 were also converting their debt to equity. There has been no
3 firm deal yet, no, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Just so the record is clear, you don't
5 mean everyone who is converting debt to equity, for instance
6 the Abakan creditors?

7 MR. GROSS: Correct.

8 THE COURT: You probably mean George Town, Sonoro and
9 Mr. Eberhard?

10 MR. GROSS: Yes, that is to whom I am referring.

11 We're prepared today to establish the fairness of the
12 transaction. The appraiser here hired by the receiver had
13 nothing to do with George Town. We can establish what the
14 value of assets are left for Abakan if your Honor so desires.
15 We have the receiver here to testify about the process that led
16 to this.

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. GROSS: We're hopeful this is a final resolution
19 of the matter and Mesocoat will continue in business and this
20 case will be over.

21 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Gross. I
22 appreciate that.

23 So perhaps it would be helpful to place this in
24 context. I had a November 3rd memorandum in support of the
25 termination of the receiverships upon approval of satisfaction

FCG6GEOH

1 of debt. A schedule was set for opposition to that application
2 for this hearing. I only received one submission in opposition
3 to the application, and that was Ms. Leali's submission of
4 December 7th. There was a flurry of letters that followed the
5 December 7th filing. I believe everything has been docketed on
6 ECF so I will not go through that in detail now. Then I have
7 the reply memorandum from George Town of December 11th. In
8 addition, I have the revised satisfaction and assignment
9 agreement to which I already made reference, which is dated
10 December 15th. It left open one group of creditors, that is
11 the satisfaction and assignment agreement of December 15th,
12 left open the claims by the four Mesocoat creditors and we now
13 understand based on Mr. Gross's description on the record that
14 there has been a settlement reached for those four creditors.

15 And, Ms. Leali, thank you for being here. Did
16 Mr. Gross correctly recite the terms of that settlement
17 agreement for those four creditors?

18 MS. LEALI: Yes, your Honor, but may I add just an
19 additional clarity with respect to that?

20 Your Honor, if I may also -- well, let add the clarity
21 and then I will add my other point.

22 The four Mesocoat petitioning creditors are in the
23 amounts that they are owed are Mark Baumgarten, Management
24 Consulting, LLC, and that firm is owed the amount of \$2,367.83.

25 THE COURT: Is this all as described at pages 8 and 9

FCG6GEOH

1 of your brief?

2 MS. LEALI: Yes, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: We can just incorporate that by reference
4 then. It should be on ECF so it is part of the record in this
5 case.

6 MS. LEALI: Thank you, your Honor.

7 The other additional point I would like to say is that
8 we're withdrawing our objection and in fact specifically
9 endorsing and supporting the transaction as it has been
10 outlined today primarily based upon the immaterial improvements
11 that have been described to this Court.

12 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Ms. Leali.

13 In setting out the framework here, I want to make a
14 couple of overarching points because I think it is important to
15 know why I am sitting in judgment on any of this and it is
16 because of a series of documents that Abakan executed, which
17 included a security agreement, that gave George Town access
18 should there be a default in ways I have described in my
19 summary judgment opinion in this matter essentially to all of
20 Mesocoat. As these events have unfolded, we have learned over
21 the ensuing months that Abakan never paid the debt it owed to
22 George Town and if it had, which was roughly \$1.8 million, then
23 this entire proceeding would have been over. The fact that
24 there was no investor who stepped forward to make that payment
25 of \$1.8 million, and it's less than that, 1.770932, is some

FCG6GEOH

1 evidence in my mind that this is perhaps a promising company no
2 independent investor has enough confidence in its future to
3 make an investment of that size.

4 So months have gone on here. Receivers were appointed
5 first for Mesocoat and then also for Abakan because of Abakan's
6 contemptuous conduct. During this time, notice has been
7 widespread with respect to these events. The receiver filed an
8 8-K. I filed scheduling orders on the public docket. And as
9 time came close for any objections to the proposed transaction,
10 lawyers representing the board of directors for Abakan
11 withdrew. They had an absolute right to object to this
12 proposed agreement and they did not. I have bent over
13 backwards to allow appearance of counsel through the pro hac
14 vice mechanism so that every interested voice could be heard
15 even when there was an objection to Ms. Leali's presence on
16 this docket in this matter. I have accepted her submissions
17 and considered them and was prepared to ask questions and rule
18 with respect to them if my questions were sufficiently answered
19 and therefore to address the issues on the merits. As we heard
20 those issues have been settled and resolved.

21 So we have a substantial passage of time with no
22 investors satisfying George Town's debt; no valuation
23 presented, even though I asked for one to present an
24 alternative valuation that receiver receives at the end of
25 August; no objections to this proposal to satisfy the debt and

FCG6GEOH

1 redistribute in essence Mesocoat stock other than that filed by
2 Ms. Leali, which has now been retracted.

3 I think, again just looking at this from the big
4 picture point of view and as far as I understand it, this
5 proposal will permit Mesocoat to continue as opposed to having
6 a foreclosure sale. It reduces the debt owed to Abakan's
7 significantly and it enforces in a way Abakan's contract. The
8 agreements that Abakan negotiated and executed and the promises
9 it made in its contractual arrangements with George Town, those
10 I am intimately familiar with; but I think if it were
11 necessary, we could explore the way in which there were similar
12 commitments made by Abakan that are now reflected in a judgment
13 held by the Sonoro parties and perhaps the same could be true
14 of Mr. Eberhard. I just don't have the knowledge presented on
15 this record to comment in detail on that.

16 The open issues that appeared to me as I entered this
17 proceeding first and foremost were the complaints by the four
18 Mesocoat creditors. I must say that the Mesocoat creditors are
19 each described in Ms. Leali's submission as people who provided
20 specific services for which they could and would in the normal
21 course expect repayment -- engineering services, computer
22 services, repair services, services to get building permits.
23 These are the kinds of debts accumulated in the ordinary course
24 of business that should be paid. I understand arrangements
25 have been made to do so.

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 This is a different set of debts than those described
2 for the six unsecured creditors from Abakan. In connection
3 with those six debts, the Abakan debts, there is a much more
4 general description of what they did. One provided some
5 translation and business development services. One is a
6 website designer. By and large it looked like -- again,
7 because of the settlement hearing, I don't have to get into any
8 detail about this -- that some of these six may be in essence
9 investors who hope to get some benefit from working with Abakan
10 and on its behalf. In any event, their claims have been
11 addressed, too.

12 I want to go through the objections presented on
13 December 7th and make sure we have a sufficient record with
14 respect to those. For some of this I think I am going to rely
15 on you, Mr. Seiden, to give me your testimony. You don't need
16 to come up, but I am going to place you under oath.

17 Ms. Rojas, if you could administer the oath to
18 Mr. Seiden where he is.

19 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please raise your right hand.

20 FCG6GEOH,

21 called as a witness by the Court,
22 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 THE COURT: So, Mr. Seiden, what did you do to solicit
25 competing offers in connection with this transaction?

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 MR. SEIDEN: Well, thank you, Judge.

2 Myself and my staff had engaged in a elaborate effort
3 to disseminate information to the public. We issued press
4 released. As your Honor indicated we also issued 8-Ks. We
5 filed four 8-Ks with the SEC. We were also receiving
6 unsolicited phone calls and e-mails from several individuals
7 who expressed interest.

8 I personally met with some of these people. I also
9 engaged in conversations directly and through counsel with
10 these individuals. Two of the companies were in China; one of
11 the companies was in Humble, Texas; and another company is
12 represented by a law firm Wilson Sonsini here in New York. All
13 these entities I have been speaking with. Most of them signed
14 nondisclosure agreements and we shared a lot of documents with
15 them, including financial statements that are current. We also
16 allowed one of them to go on site to do physical due diligence
17 at the facilities in Ohio where the individual at that company
18 met with the key management staff, including the CEO, chief
19 technology officer and visited the facilities and plant and
20 observe the technology as well as meeting with the chief
21 financial officer.

22 This all occurred over the past two months
23 approximately. Most of it compressed in the last 30 days I
24 would say. Several of them as a result of that provided me
25 with written formal offers and these include company Liquid

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 Metal Coatings Company from Texas as well as a company from
2 China called Hengda, and another company called U.P. Sentech.
3 Two of them were physically presented to me with formal offers
4 and we had negotiated over the last 30 days and both of them
5 determined that they would not make a formal offer that had no
6 conditions attached to it.

7 The issue that I had been negotiating with them was
8 that they all wanted conditions that they would take several
9 months to try and meet the conditions based on sales, figures
10 being hit, things of that nature, and I advised them that the
11 company could not afford several more months without diffusion
12 of capital. During the course of it, they determined that they
13 did not want to formally continue with a negotiation or to
14 formally make a bid and they withdrew their offers. So that
15 despite our efforts to try to get the formal offers in front of
16 your Honor, that was not forthcoming from any of these.

17 The only offer that had come forward was the offer
18 from George Town that had essentially been revised over time.
19 The initial offer they made was subsequently augmented and
20 based on the objections from different parties that had been
21 interested in this matter, I asked my office and we used our
22 goodwill as receiver to speak with all the parties and try to
23 create an atmosphere of harmony to coalesce and do an agreement
24 that can be consensually presented to your Honor. This was
25 worked on with great urgency in the last two weeks and I want

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 on the thank on record the counsel for Ms. Leali, and the
2 counsel for George Town, Mr. Greg Weiner who is here today, and
3 other attorneys including Jaffrey Aaronson in Florida, and my
4 counsel David listen, who were instrumental in enabling what I
5 would consider an outstanding conclusion to this extremely
6 difficult matter to come to a conclusion.

7 I hope have I answered your question.

8 THE COURT: You did. Thank you.

9 Based on this record I am prepared to find that the
10 receiver cooperated with other investors who were considering
11 making competing bids, shared information about the company so
12 that they could evaluate the merits of such a decision and
13 ultimately decided that no formal competing bid would be
14 presented either to the receiver or to me.

15 The next complaint that Ms. Leali made was that there
16 is only one appraisal here, that there are valuable patents --
17 again, I am summarizing her point -- and the appraiser who
18 looked at those patents and intellectual property was not
19 really competent to value that technology and I shouldn't rely
20 on that single appraisal in rendering a fairness opinion here.
21 Again, I think the market has spoken. There was an opportunity
22 to submit competing appraisals; no one did. There was an
23 opportunity to submit competing bids; no one did. I don't find
24 that the appraisal that was submitted to me in August therefore
25 is unreliable for the reasons identified in the objection.

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 The next objection was that the original proposal
2 ignored the interest of various U.S. agencies. Of course there
3 is no submission by any U.S. agency with respect to the merits
4 of this transaction, and I would ask you again, Mr. Seiden, is
5 there anything you know about the U.S. government's interest in
6 any of the business of Abakan or Mesocoat that should give me
7 pause here in reviewing the merits of the transaction?

8 MR. SEIDEN: No, your Honor. There are some
9 government contracts that Mesocoat does have, including one
10 with the Department of Energy and one with the State of Ohio
11 and one with Alberta, Canada, all of which we have been in
12 communication with them and they are aware what is going on and
13 we have heard no complaints or issues, other than the State of
14 Ohio, which has been asking for payment which we have been
15 working out with them, a payment schedule.

16 THE COURT: Thank you. Of course I have received the
17 Ohio DSA e-mail forwarded to me by one of the parties and I
18 have no reason to believe that their security and interest in
19 certain equipment is not protected by this transaction.
20 Therefore, I don't think that presents a problem.

21 The next objection had to do with the 10 creditors,
22 six from Abakan and four from Mesocoat. That has been
23 addressed.

24 The next objection had to do with the fact that this
25 isn't a bankruptcy proceeding and the bankruptcy process is a

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 better forum for addressing these issues. I think if I
2 understand the objection, and I know Ms. Leali is no longer
3 pressing it, but I want to take it on the merits anyway. My
4 job independently is to think hard about these issues. I think
5 the only open issue for me is notice and I am going to give
6 Mr. Seiden again a chance to tell me what he has done to make
7 sure that everyone had adequate notice here besides anything he
8 has mentioned in the past.

9 What we had is a request by the parties for me to
10 approve a transaction which was really not contemplated by the
11 security agreement entered into between George Town and Abakan.
12 Under that agreement, George Town would have had the right to
13 proceed to foreclosure. Instead they stayed their hand. We
14 have had many court proceedings and we have had lots of notice.
15 We have had lots of opportunity for folks to be heard. Now
16 we're at a hearing to review the merits of a proposed
17 transaction. So it is a little bit of a false comparison to
18 say that this court process should be compared to a bankruptcy
19 process. Really one other comparison that could have been made
20 here is a foreclosure process versus a bankruptcy process. Of
21 course the process we have had here has allowed lots of notice
22 over many months with opportunity for every significant
23 player -- shareholder, board, creditor -- to be heard, a formal
24 schedule for objections and then today's hearing. So while I
25 appreciate that the bankruptcy courts are an important arm of

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 the federal judicial system, in the unique circumstances we're
2 faced with here, I don't see there is any impediment for my
3 proceeding despite the fact that theoretically this could have
4 become a bankruptcy proceeding. I know in Florida that appears
5 to be over, but I don't want to tread on the Florida bankruptcy
6 proceeding right now.

7 So let's go to notice.

8 So, Mr. Seiden, we know the four 8-Ks were filed.
9 Obviously I filed some orders scheduling opportunity for
10 parties to be heard even when they have no horrible appearance
11 through this Court.

12 What else did you do to give notice to interested
13 parties?

14 MR. SEIDEN: Yes, your Honor. As I mentioned we had
15 disseminated four 8-Ks through the public filing system. We
16 also did several press releases, which went over all the news
17 wires, including the business news wires, at not an
18 insignificant expense as you can imagine. As the Mesocoat
19 level, my staff and management of Mesocoat called every single
20 creditor, including some of the creditors -- I think all the
21 creditors in fact that were petitioning creditors, and that was
22 over the last 30 days. There were calls as well that we
23 received and that we responded to from Abakan vendors as well,
24 including of of the significant creditors, which was the
25 accounting firm that I had been in communication with

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 throughout that is owed a significant amount of money. There
2 were so many creditors that we relied more on the press release
3 and other court filings as well since we were not able to reach
4 all of them.

5 THE COURT: You have confidence you reached all of the
6 Mesocoat creditors?

7 MR. SEIDEN: Yes, 100 percent.

8 THE COURT: So let's talk about the merits. I think
9 that is the last set of objections presented in the December
10 7th filing. A lot of those objections to the merits of the
11 satisfaction transaction are now moot because of amendments.
12 There was an objection to the transfer of 100 percent of the
13 stock. That has been revised as the documents explain. There
14 was an objection because certain creditors should be treated in
15 a way that was equivalent to the way the transaction treated
16 Sonoro. I think through the amendments that objection has also
17 been mooted. There was a question about the transaction being
18 silent as to remaining Mesocoat assets. I am not sure that I
19 understood that objection. I think it is fair to say that I
20 didn't understand that objection, but I think that is also
21 resolved by the amendment.

22 Mr. Gross, do you want to address that?

23 MR. GROSS: I am sorry. Which objection, your Honor?

24 THE COURT: Page 16 of the December 7th objection,
25 paragraph 32 indicates that the satisfaction transaction is

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 silent as to what happens to the assets of Mesocoat, which the
2 receiver is holding in trust for George Town's benefit. The
3 question that is asked in the December 7th filing is whether
4 those assets are remaining with Mesocoat or are being released
5 to George Town.

6 I take it they are remaining with Mesocoat?

7 MR. GROSS: Yes. The transaction is the division of
8 the shares in Mesocoat. It is not going to George Town. It is
9 fairly clear on the face of the settlement agreement.

10 THE COURT: Thank you.

11 Ms. Leali, I know you have withdrawn your objections,
12 but I just wondered if you could help me. Have I addressed
13 each of the objections that you raised or has the record today
14 addressed each of them?

15 MS. LEALI: Yes, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Thank you.

17 I had wanted to ask a series of questions about
18 Mr. Eberhard's participation in this transaction; but now that
19 a wide range of creditors are participating, I don't think it
20 is necessary for me to explore this separate treatment of
21 Mr. Eberhard about whose debt I know the least.

22 Does anyone believe I need to inquire into that
23 particular debt and the transaction with respect to the
24 satisfaction of that debt?

25 MR. GROSS: No, your Honor.

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 THE COURT: So let's talk about a proposal given to me
2 today. I am sorry. Before I do that I have a couple questions
3 about the satisfaction and assignment agreement.

4 Turning to page 3, one question concerns paragraph 3,
5 which goes from the bottom of page 2 to the top of page 3. It
6 is the question about the breadth of the release.

7 Maybe this is a question for you, Mr. Seiden. I will
8 let you remain at the table in which you are located because
9 your counsel are next to you. So that if at any time you wish
10 to consult with counsel before answering the question, please
11 feel free.

12 MR. SEIDEN: Thank you.

13 THE COURT: So as I read paragraph 3, Abakan is
14 releasing George Town, Sonoro, Eberhard and those associated
15 with him as described there for from all demands, claims,
16 liabilities, debts, etc., that accrue before or after the
17 effective date that Abakan may have against the releasees. I
18 am not sure why I should release things that might accrue after
19 the effective date. Have any claims been identified so far so
20 that I know precisely what is being released insofar as it has
21 been shared with the receiver or anyone?

22 MR. SEIDEN: No, your Honor. As receiver for Abakan,
23 I am aware of no claims. As a receiver for Mesocoat, we're
24 aware of no claim. The language as described, maybe counsel
25 for George Town would like to address whether it is overbroad

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 in terms of future conduct if that relates to things that
2 occurred before the effective date. I cannot speak to that.
3 We're not aware of any claims that would trigger this
4 provision.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Gross.

6 MR. GROSS: Yes, your Honor. I am not aware of any
7 claims and I consent to say "before the effective date" without
8 saying "after."

9 THE COURT: I will say before or on the effective
10 date. So the word "after" will be replaced by the word "on."

11 My next question has to do with paragraph 6,
12 PowderNet. So, Mr. Seiden, Abakan is agreeing that all cash
13 installment payments it is entitled to receive from PowderNet
14 on or after the effective date shall be made to Mesocoat. This
15 term appears to consider that rerouting of payments to be in
16 perpetuity.

17 MR. SEIDEN: The only payment, your Honor, that we are
18 aware of based on the conversations with the CFO Mesocoat, who
19 also happens to be the CFO of PowderNet, is that there is one
20 more payment due of \$150,000 on or before December 22nd of this
21 year. We're not aware of any other payment that is owed or
22 due.

23 We may need a word from Mr. Gross.

24 THE COURT: Mr. Gross.

25 MR. GROSS: Your Honor, that is only for the existing

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 agreement. We could add that the 3.6 percent of PowderNet that
2 remains owed by Abakan should not be deemed included. This
3 only deals with the entire sell, not the 3.6 percent that
4 continues to be held by Abakan or PowderNet.

5 THE COURT: I don't actually understand everything you
6 just said to me, Mr. Gross. That's just fine, but I will need
7 it explained. It sounds like I am going to get a revised
8 document in connection with that submission, which will include
9 a revision to paragraphs 3 and 6, and you'll explain to me how
10 what this percentage of shares and this \$150,000 how they
11 relate to each other and specifically what you are requesting.

12 So those were my questions with respect to the
13 satisfaction and assignment agreement. Then today I received a
14 proposed order granting the motion for termination of
15 receiverships upon approval of satisfaction of debt. It
16 reflects on page 2 consummation of an agreement as modified on
17 the record. I prefer to have a written document, an amended
18 satisfaction document or agreement presented to me. We'll get
19 a schedule for that.

20 Then there is a paragraph here that asks me to enjoin
21 all creditors, shareholders and defendants, respective boards
22 of directors from filing voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy
23 petition for 91 days for or against Abakan. I have no
24 authority presented to me of legal authority for this. If
25 would be an extraordinary action for me to take. It is

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 possible in certain circumstances that a court can take such
2 action. I don't think I could take it outside the context of a
3 receivership. The document expects the receivership to end
4 within 30 days and the injunction to last for 91. So if
5 counsel want me to consider such a passage, it is going to have
6 to have legal support and give everyone an opportunity to be
7 heard.

8 MR. GROSS: Your Honor, would it be possible to
9 suggest an alternative that this Court retain jurisdiction to
10 consider challenges to this transaction?

11 THE COURT: Of course I have authority with respect to
12 any judgment I enter. And should another action be filed in
13 this district and be designated and is related, I would be
14 happy to review it to see whether I believe it is related under
15 our local rules for related cases. I have authority to make
16 sure my judgments are enforced and not interfered with. I am
17 not going to issue the injunction requested here again without
18 legal authority and an opportunity for people to be heard and
19 oppose it.

20 MR. GROSS: Okay, your Honor. We may suggest an
21 alternative and come with legal authority for that.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Gross, is there anything you wanted to
23 add?

24 MR. GROSS: There is one minor footnote. We had said
25 in one of our filings that we were going to wait until a

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 bankruptcy hearing on January 13th. Since that is not taking
2 place, we're not going be waiting for a nonexistent hearing.

3 THE COURT: Thank you.

4 Mr. Seiden, anything that you wanted to add?

5 MR. SEIDEN: No, your Honor. I wanted to thank the
6 Court for your confidence in me on these two very important
7 matters and I appreciate the opportunity.

8 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for your service.

9 Mr. Leali, is there anything that you wanted to add?

10 MS. LEALI: No, your Honor, but thank you very much
11 for letting me appear today.

12 THE COURT: So, again, we have visitors in the
13 courtroom, which I am happy to see. There are about eight
14 people I think. No one else has placed an appearance on the
15 record. I want to make sure if anyone wanted to be heard, they
16 have an opportunity to come up to the podium and place their
17 name on the record and say whatever they would like.

18 Sir, please approach and identify yourself.

19 MR. ROTHOUSE: Thank you, your Honor. My name is
20 Warren Rothouse. I am with a company called Surety Financial
21 Group.

22 THE COURT: Can you spell your last name, sir?

23 MR. ROTHOUSE: R-o-t-h-o-u-s-e.

24 THE COURT: Who do you represent?

25 MR. ROTHOUSE: Surety Financial Group. We at one time

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 were the investors relation company that represented Abakan.

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 MR. ROTHOUSE: So the only objection I truly have is
4 that I understand -- I don't know his name.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Seiden.

6 MR. ROTHOUSE: Mr. Seiden. I was contacted by Mr.
7 Seiden initially to send out the very first press release upon
8 them coming and I said to Mr. Seiden, Abakan owes me a great
9 deal of money. If they make good on that, I will be happy to.
10 That is the last I ever heard about that.

11 So in reference to your comment about him notifying
12 all the shareholders, the only objection I have is that he
13 never contacted me and said, This is what is going to happen
14 and we want to notify your shareholders. I was the investor
15 relations company responsible for the bulk the shareholders who
16 had come in, but I have learned about what was going on with
17 the company via the 8-Ks.

18 THE COURT: Through the 8-Ks?

19 MR. ROTHOUSE: That is correct.

20 So that is the only objection I have. And with this
21 agreement, what I don't understand, and maybe someone can
22 enlighten me, I don't understand what happens to those
23 investors. Is everybody left intact and the shares they have
24 are the shares they have, including Bob Miller?

25 THE COURT: Well, who would like to answer that?

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 Mr. Gross, what happens to the current Abakan
2 shareholders if I approve this transaction.

3 MR. GROSS: Well, they have certain assets. They have
4 the 3.6 percent interest in PowderNet, which we will make clear
5 in the revised agreement, which we think has substantial value,
6 and they have the 22.5 percent interest in Mesocoat, which we
7 think should have substantial value. So they are retaining
8 those two pockets of assets of Abakan, which is better than
9 they would do outside of this agreement.

10 MR. ROTHOUSE: Agreed. So the shareholders that are
11 in place still hold their stock? That is what I am asking in
12 Abakan. Nothing changes other than what Abakan holds?

13 MR. GROSS: That's correct.

14 MR. ROTHOUSE: That's fine.

15 So Mr. Miller is part of the agreement? He gets to
16 keep his million of shares, is that what I am to understand?

17 THE COURT: How this works, Mr. Rothouse, is you get
18 to address me.

19 MR. ROTHOUSE: That's okay.

20 THE COURT: And then I figure out if it is a question
21 that should be answered and who should answer it.

22 MR. ROTHOUSE: Understood. Thank you, your Honor.

23 So, yeah, that was my question, is that the company
24 was obviously mismanaged in my opinion. Just my opinion. So I
25 don't know what the ramifications are to the old board and the

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 shares that they held and so it is just going to be a question
2 that I am going to be posed with by investors who have come
3 into Abakan. I certainly can appreciate if there is no way to
4 give me that answer today.

5 THE COURT: Well, you know I encourage you to feel
6 free to talk with Mr. Seiden who is the receiver for Abakan and
7 will be for some weeks here. As I understand it, this
8 agreement affects the ownership of Mesocoat stock but not the
9 ownership of Abakan stock.

10 Do I understand that correctly, Mr. Gross?

11 MR. GROSS: Yes, your Honor.

12 MR. ROTHOUSE: So Mesocoat will now be run by George
13 Town and whoever it deems appropriate to run the company, is
14 that correct, your Honor?

15 THE COURT: There is a proposal here that the
16 ownership of Mesocoat stock be allocated as follows: George
17 Town would be the largest shareholder with close to 39 percent.
18 Sonoro would have a little over 28 percent. So together they
19 would have over 50 percent. So I have the other numbers. It's
20 in the filing made on our ECF system on February 15th. This is
21 the amended proposed satisfaction and assignment agreement. I
22 think maybe Mr. Gross just kindly handed you a copy. So Abakan
23 would continue under this proposal to have 22.5 percent of
24 Mesocoat.

25 MR. ROTHOUSE: Okay.

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 MR. SEIDEN: If I may, your Honor?

2 THE COURT: Mr. Seiden.

3 MR. SEIDEN: I intend to file an 8-K hopefully
4 tomorrow with a copy of the agreement attached if you are not
5 opposed to that, your Honor, which will be available to
6 everyone.

7 MR. ROTHOUSE: Thank you. Beautiful. That's all I
8 have, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Rothouse.

10 Anyone else who wishes to be heard?

11 Please, sir, approach and identify yourself for the
12 record.

13 Your Honor, my name is Ray Tellini. I sat in this
14 courtroom and testified.

15 THE COURT: Oh, yes. Mr. Tellini, hello.

16 MR. TELLINI: I did resign from the Abakan board and I
17 have a concern with the resignation of the receiver prior to 91
18 days from the consummation of the sale because it will put
19 previous management back in place and your Honor has put them
20 in contempt. So I have zero faith in that management. If the
21 receiver would agree to stay the 91-day period, he could then
22 seek alternative replacement remedies of those directors and
23 management.

24 THE COURT: So Mr. Seiden I know you are probably
25 expensive for Mesocoat and for Abakan. I know the current

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 proposal is to have you in place for 30 days. Why was that
2 period of time chosen?

3 MR. SEIDEN: I didn't choose that time period, your
4 Honor. I think it was my counsel who had spoken with counsel
5 from George Town and I think that they assume that would be
6 approximately a fair amount of time to wind things down in
7 terms of the receivership and convey the ongoing matters that
8 we have been managing over to new management that might be in
9 place or new board. With respect to the point brought by Mr.
10 Tellini, I understand. I would be willing to serve the 91 days
11 if that is what your Honor wishes. We would do it on a manner
12 that is obviously scaled down from where it had been under the
13 circumstances where there is no litigation and interference
14 that has caused a lot of our time to be spent on dealing with
15 issues that were extraneous to the running of the company.

16 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Tellini -- and I apologize for
17 not recognizing you -- courts don't get to run companies and
18 for that I think America is very happy. So there was a need
19 for a receivership. I think I expressed my reluctance at the
20 time to impose one on Abakan but felt that their contemptuous
21 conduct gave me no choice. So in my mind the receivership
22 should end as quickly as possible. I had understood that one
23 of the tasks of the receivership that had to happen before it
24 wound up its duties was to make a final report and that would
25 take at least a few weeks and we were in the holiday period.

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 So it sounds like Mr. Gross is going to have to revisit this
2 request for an injunction and you may want to speak with him
3 and consult with him and Mr. Seiden about your concerns.

4 MR. TELLINI: Thank you, your Honor. Mr. Seiden has
5 done an excellent job.

6 THE COURT: Thank you.

7 Does anyone else wish to be heard?

8 No one else has indicated a desire to be heard.

9 So I think I have enough to approve the satisfaction
10 and assignment agreement. It is difficult to know what
11 standard to impose here because under the documents that Abakan
12 executed, the only restriction for the sale or disposition of
13 the Mesocoat assets was that the sale or disposition be
14 commercially reasonable. Under that standard I believe there
15 has been a very persuasive showing that this is commercially
16 reasonable, no competing offers, plenty of notice, months of
17 ongoing litigation, many parties in and out through pro hac
18 vice submission, ultimately everyone withdrawing from the field
19 and choosing not to address this issue or proposal on the
20 merits. I have imposed a higher standard than commercially
21 reasonable. I want to make sure that this transaction is fair
22 to one and all, that I have considered every objection that has
23 been made even those that have been withdrawn, that I also look
24 carefully at the proposal to see if I can independently
25 identify any issues, which it appears I have identified two.

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 We'll hear more about that on a schedule to be set in a moment.
2 Based on then this opportunity for everyone to be heard and the
3 submissions made to me, I find that the proposal as I
4 understand it will be modified is entitled to approval.
5 Therefore, I am prepared to sign and approve the satisfaction
6 and assignment agreement of December 15th with amendments to
7 paragraph 3 and clarification and potential amendment to
8 paragraph 6.

9 Mr. Gross, how long to get me that?

10 MR. GROSS: I would say by the end of the week. By
11 Friday we should get that amended.

12 THE COURT: That's December 18. I will review it
13 promptly and if I can't reach you on the 18th, I plan to act on
14 the 21st.

15 With respect to the proposed order, I plan to strike
16 the injunction paragraph. If I get a submission by Friday that
17 asks me to reconsider that decision, I of course will look at
18 any authority. You may want to look at a Second Circuit
19 decision in that regard, *SEC v. Byers*, 609 F.3d 87.

20 I think that completes today's proceeding.

21 Mr. Gross, is there anything else to add?

22 MR. GROSS: No, your Honor. Thank you.

23 THE COURT: Mr. Seiden?

24 MR. SEIDEN: No, your Honor. Thank you.

25 THE COURT: Ms. Leali?

FCG6GEOH

Seiden - direct

1 MS. LEALI: No, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Thank you all.

3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.

4 o0o

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25